Document 34

Filed 08/19/2008

Page 1 of 7

Case 3:08-cr-00025-WHA

Document 34

Filed 08/19/2008

Page 2 of 7

Case 3:08-cr-00025-WHA

BENEDICT was originally arrested in this matter on August 1, 2007 by the Novato Police Department. He was contacted by the police on July 31, 2007 and cooperated fully in their investigation. He voluntarily turned over possession of his computers to investigators and accompanied the investigators the police department where he confessed fully to possessing child pornography.

BENEDICT was charged in Marin County Superior Court with possession of child pornography in a twenty-five count felony complaint. He was eventually released on a \$125,000 bond and made all his court appearances.

He appeared for the preliminary hearing on or about January 27, 2008 on the felony complaint and was arrested at the courthouse by FBI agents who were acting on the instant indictment. The next day, United States Magistrate/Judge LaPorte released BENEDICT pending trial on a secured \$500,000 personal surety bond. BENEDICT has been under house arrest since that time and is currently being electronically monitored by the United States Pretrial Services Department. One of the conditions of his release is Global Positioning System (GPS) monitoring.

BENEDICT is on limited leave from his home only to attend church two times per week and therapy appointments once per week with Dr. Gary Gross in Kentfield, California. His therapist contacts Pretrial Services once a month with BENEDICT's progress.

BENEDICT has complied with all terms and conditions of his release. He has participated in weekly psychological counseling since his release on bail on the state charges.

BENEDICT is a life-long resident of the Bay area. He has resided with his wife and ten-year old son at the same address in Novato since 1994. He has no prior record and is 51 years old.

Although the possession of child pornography under 18 U.S.C. §2252A(a)(5)(B) is labeled a "crime of violence" for purposes of sentencing pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §3156(a)(4)(C), BENEDICT's conduct was not violent. He did not have sexual contact with any child, nor did he attempt to communicate with any child for illicit purposes.

ARGUMENT

28 18 U.S

BENEDICT SHOULD BE RELEASED PENDING SENTENCING AS HE HAS SHOWN BY CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE THAT HE IS NOT A FLIGHT RISK OR DANGER TO THE COMMUNITY AND THAT THERE ARE "EXCEPTIONAL" CIRCUMSTANCES WITHIN THE MEANING OF 18 U.S.C. §3145(C) WHICH JUSTIFY RELEASE PENDING SENTENCING.

Section 3143(a)(2)(A)(ii) requires mandatory detention for a defendant found guilty of a crime of violence unless an attorney for the government "has recommended that no sentence of imprisonment be imposed" and "the judicial officer finds by clear and convincing evidence that the person is not likely to flee or pose a danger to any other person in the community."

Possession of child pornography has been determined to be a "crime of violence" as that term is defined in 18 U.S.C. §3156(a)(4)(C).

Section 3145(c) of Title 18 permits a defendant to appeal an order of detention "if it is clearly shown that there are exceptional reasons why such person's deteintion would not be appropriate." 18 U.S.C. §3145(c). This section does not define the term "exceptional reasons," though courts have generally read the phrase to mean circumstances that are "clearly out of the ordinary, uncommon, or rare." See <u>United States v. Koon</u>, 6 F.3d 561, 563 (9th cir.1993)(Rymer, J., concurring in denial of rehearing <u>en banc</u>); see also <u>United States v. DiSomma</u>, 951 F.2d 494, 497 (2nd Cir.1991)(referring to "unique combinations of circumstances"); <u>United States v.</u>

This section provides, in relevant part, that:

The judicial officer shall order that a person who has been found guilty of an offense in a case described in subparagraph (A), (B), or (C) of [18 U.S.C. §3142(f)(1)] and is awaiting imposition or execution of sentence be detained unless:

- (A)(i) the judicial officer finds there is a substantial likelihood that a motion for acquittal or new trial will be granted; or
- (ii) an attorney for the Government has recommended that no sentenc of imprisonment be imposed on the person; and
- (B) the judicial officer finds by clear and convincing evidence that the person is not likely to flee or pose a danger to any other person in the community.

18 U.S.C. §3143(a)(2).

MOTION FOR RELEASE PENDING SENTENCING

<u>Devinna</u>, 5 F.Supp.2d 872, 873 (E.D.Cal.1998)(holding that a defendant must show something more than a low likelihood of flight or danger to others).

The Ninth Circuit has provided guidance for determining exceptional circumstances in the form of the following non-exclusive factors: (1) whether the defendant's criminal conduct was aberrational; (2) whether "the defendant led an exemplary life prior to his offense and would be likely to continue to contribute to society significantly if allowed to remain free on bail"; (3) "the nature of the violent act itself"; (4) the length of the prison sentence; (5) whether prison would impose unusual hardships on a defendant due to illness or injury; (7) whether "the defendant is exceptionally unlikely to flee or to constitute a danger to the community"; and "whether the defendant was unusually cooperative with the government." <u>United States v. Garcia</u>, 340 F.3d 1013, 1019-21 (9th Cir.2003). Morevover, since Congress has not defined "exceptional" under §3145(c), courts should construe this term according to its "plain and ordinary meaning." See <u>Fashion Boutique of Short Hills, Inc. v. Fendi USA, Inc.</u>, 314 F.3d 48, 56 (2nd Cir.2002). "Exceptional" does not mean "extreme" or "novel," but simply "infrequent" or "uncommon." See <u>DiSomma</u>, <u>supra</u>, 951 F.2d at 497. In determining what is exceptional, the district court is afforded great discretion. Id. at 497.

In this case "exceptional" circumstances exist. BENEDICT was unusually cooperative with the government. He voluntarily gave investigators his computers and confessed to the conduct immediately after voluntarily coming to the police station to answer their questions. He has no prior record and has lived an exemplary life prior to this offense. His conduct was aberrational.

Further, BENEDICT is exceptionally unlikely to flee or constitute a danger to the community. He was released on bail in the state case and not only made all his court appearances but agreed to strict search conditions of release. After being rearrested by federal authorities (despite having met all the conditions of release in the state case), he has continued to comply with all terms and conditions of release. Moreover, he voluntarily sought psychological counseling after his state arrest and has continued that therapy to the present. See <u>United States v. Kaquatosh</u>, 252 F.Supp.2d 775, 779-80 (E.D.Wis.2003)(holding that exceptional reasons for

MOTION FOR RELEASE PENDING SENTENCING

6

Document 34

Filed 08/19/2008

Page 6 of 7

Case 3:08-cr-00025-WHA